

**North Carolina Transmission Planning Collaborative
Oversight / Steering Committee (OSC)
Meeting Highlights
November 2, 2005
Duke Power Office – Charlotte
10:00 AM EPT**

Attendees:

**Clay Norris, Chair
Art Hubert
Janice Carney
Frank Gaffney
Nina McLaurin
Bryan Guy
John Dalton
Bob Pierce
David Beam
R.B. Sloan
Charles Askey
Rich Wodyka**

**ElectriCities
ElectriCities
ElectriCities
ElectriCities
Progress Energy
Progress Energy
Duke Power
Duke Power
NCEMC
Cooperatives
Cooperatives
Gestalt**

1. ADMINISTRATIVE

- A. The OSC Chair called the meeting to order at 9:55 am. Mr. Norris requested revisions or additions to the published agenda. None were made.
- B. John Dalton reviewed the meeting location logistics and procedures to follow in case of an emergency.
- C. Meeting Highlights
 - i. The Committee reviewed the highlights from the September 21, 2005 OSC meeting. Ms. McLaurin recommended revisions. Mr. Sloan made a motion to approve the September 21, 2005 highlights as revised, and Mr. Beam seconded the motion. In a unanimous voice vote, the September 21, 2005 highlights were approved as revised.
 - ii. The Committee reviewed the highlights from the October 4, 2005 OSC meeting. Mr. Sloan made a motion to approve the October 4 highlights as written, and Mr. Hubert seconded the motion. In a unanimous voice vote, the October 4, 2005 highlights were approved.

2. PWG STATUS REPORT

- A. Discussion of differences in PEC and Duke practices and their impact on the plan – do we need to strive to move to one set of assumptions or continue with two different sets of criteria? Agreed that for the 2006 plan, the OSC would likely keep separate criteria and reexamine consolidating for the 2007 plan.

- B. Discussed transmission construction cost allocation portion of the planning process and the need for education on how cost allocation is being addressed in other regions. **It was decided that in spring '06 the OSC will begin a review of existing or proposed cost allocation methodologies in order to begin building the foundation for discussion of cost allocation in our region.**

3. WEBSITE REVIEW

The website has been deployed to the OSC members prior to public release in order for the OSC members to provide feedback.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:50 pm.